- (1) in 241 school districts, more than 10 percent of public school students are enrolled in public charter schools; and
- (2) in at least 29 school districts, at least 30 percent of public school students are enrolled in public charter schools;

Whereas public charter schools improve the achievement of students enrolled in the charter schools and collaborate with traditional public schools to improve public education for all students;

Whereas public charter schools—

- (1) give parents the freedom to choose public schools:
- (2) routinely measure parental satisfaction levels: and
- (3) must prove the ongoing success of the charter schools to parents, policymakers, and the communities served by the charter schools or risk closure:

Whereas a 2015 report from the Center for Research on Education Outcomes at Stanford University found significant improvements for students at urban charter schools, and, when compared to peers in traditional public schools, each year those students completed the equivalent of 28 more days of learning in reading and 40 more days of learning in math;

Whereas a 2020 study from the Program on Education Policy and Governance at Harvard University found greater academic gains for students in charter schools than for students in traditional public schools who took the reading and math assessments administered by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) in fourth and eighth grade between 2005 and 2017, and African American and low-income students attending charter schools were almost 6 months ahead of their peers in reading and math compared with students in traditional public schools over the 12-year span of the study:

Whereas parental demand for charter schools is high, and there was nearly 5 percent growth in charter school enrollment between the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years; and

Whereas the 22nd annual National Charter Schools Week is scheduled to be celebrated the week of May 9 through May 15, 2021: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

- (1) congratulates the students, families, teachers, leaders, and staff of public charter schools across the United States for—
- (A) making ongoing contributions to public education;
- (B) making impressive strides in closing the academic achievement gap in schools in the United States, particularly in schools with some of the most disadvantaged students in both rural and urban communities; and
- (C) improving and strengthening the public school system throughout the United States;
- (2) supports the ideals and goals of the 22nd annual National Charter Schools Week, a week-long celebration to be held May 9 through May 15, 2021, in communities throughout the United States; and
- (3) encourages the people of the United States to hold appropriate programs, ceremonies, and activities during National Charter Schools Week to demonstrate support for public charter schools.

SENATE RESOLUTION 231-EX-PRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE DESIGNATION OF MAY 17, 2021, AS"DIPG PEDIATRIC BRAIN CANCER AWARENESS DAY" TO RAISE AWARENESS OF AND EN-COURAGE RESEARCH ON DIF-INTRINSIC PONTINE FUSE GLIOMA TUMORS AND PEDI-ATRIC CANCERS IN GENERAL

Mr. RUBIO (for himself, Mr. REED, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Casey, Mr. Braun, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Marshall, and Mrs. Fischer) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

## S. RES. 231

Whereas diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (referred to in this preamble as "DIPG") tumors regularly affect 130 to 300 children in the United States each year;

Whereas brain tumors are the leading cause of cancer-related death among children:

Whereas DIPG tumors are the leading cause of pediatric brain cancer deaths;

Whereas, with respect to a child who is diagnosed with a DIPG tumor and receives treatment for a DIPG tumor, the median amount of time that the child survives after diagnosis is only 9 months:

Whereas, with respect to an individual who is diagnosed with a DIPG tumor, the rate of survival 5 years after diagnosis is approximately 2 percent:

Whereas the average age at which a child is diagnosed with a DIPG tumor is between 5 and 10 years, resulting in a life expectancy approximately 70 years shorter than the average life expectancy in the United States;

Whereas the prognosis for children diagnosed with DIPG tumors has not improved over the past 50 years: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate—

(1) supports-

- (A) designating May 17, 2021, as "DIPG Pediatric Brain Cancer Awareness Day"; and (B) efforts to—
- (i) better understand diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma tumors;
- (ii) develop effective treatments for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma tumors; and
- (iii) provide comprehensive care for children with diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma tumors and their families; and
- (2) encourages all individuals in the United States to become more informed about—
- (A) diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma tumors:
- (B) pediatric brain cancer in general; and (C) challenges relating to research on pedi-
- (C) challenges relating to research on pediatric cancers and ways to advance such research.

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1920. Mr. BOOZMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, to establish a new Directorate for Technology and Innovation in the National Science Foundation, to establish a regional technology hub program, to require a strategy and report on economic security, science, research, innovation, manufacturing, and job creation, to establish a critical supply chain resiliency program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1921. Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHU-

MER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1922. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. RUBIO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1923. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1924. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1925. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1926. Mr. RISCH (for himself, Mr. CRAPO, Ms. ROSEN, Mrs. CAPITO, and Ms. CORTEZ MASTO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

ŠA 1927. Mr. ROMNEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1928. Mr. ROMNEY (for himself and Mr. RUBIO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1929. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

SA 1930. Mr. MANCHIN (for himself and Mr. CRAMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1931. Mr. MANCHIN (for himself and Mr. BARRASSO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1932. Mr. INHOFE (for himself, Mr. COONS, and Mr. CORNYN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1933. Mrs. HYDE-SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHU-MER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1934. Mr. JOHNSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1935. Mr. PETERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1936. Mr. SULLIVAN (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. INHOFE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1937. Mr. RUBIO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1938. Mr. RUBIO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill